

How Vertical Alignment Grows Student Understanding and Success at Studies Weekly

by Noelle Carter, PhD



Vertical alignment in education means to start students at a basic understanding of a large concept and then build on it as they move up through grade levels.

Take, for example, economics. In younger grades, you could teach students about needs vs. wants and how to distinguish them. In later grades, you could build on this foundation by teaching them about using jobs and trade to fulfill needs and wants. Even later, you could build further by analyzing how geography and natural resources affect what people can produce and trade to fulfill their needs and wants.

Building a vertically aligned curriculum takes time and planning. The College, Career, and Civic Life (C3) Framework for Social Studies State Standards released by the National Council for the Social Studies outlines methods of structuring vertical alignment across grades.

It advises that you divide grades into “bands,” for example K–2, 3–5, 6–8, and 9–12. Each band works with a core idea but deploys instruction to build on concepts and skills across grade levels. Each band revisits big ideas in ways that help students recall and practice prior learning, then applies the concepts to new contexts, then adds nuance, vocabulary, evidence, and complexity.

Vertical alignment is very useful in social studies because many elementary topics — such as rules, fairness, needs and wants, and community — are entry points to complex systems such as law, governance, markets, rights, and civic participation.

For example, the C3 Framework shows how students’ understanding about rules would build from the K–2 band to the 3–5 band.

The learning expectations demonstrate a vertical progression: from observing and explaining how rules and laws work to analyzing how human action can change rules and laws.

3–5: “Explain how rules and laws change society and how people change rules and laws.”



K–2: “Identify and explain how rules function in public (classroom and school) settings.”

Following is a K–5 vertically aligned curriculum focused on *rules*, with a foundation, an expansion, and an application.

Kindergarten–Grade 1 Band

Foundations: norms, rules, fairness

- Students distinguish rules from choices and describe why communities create rules (safety, fairness, order)
- Classroom work emphasizes cause and effect: “What happens when we follow rules? What happens when we don’t?”
- Students practice civic language: “fair, safe, responsibility, consequence”

Grade 2–3 Band

Expansion: community governance and change over time

- Students compare rules across settings (classroom, playground, library, local community)
- They begin to notice rules can change and that people can influence rules

(persuasion, voting, petitions, community meetings) — a step toward considering themselves as civic agents

Grade 4–5 Band

Application: colonies building government and law

- Students use earlier ideas (purpose of rules, fairness, participation) to analyze why colonists created new governing structures and how laws reflected economic and political needs
- In Grade 5 U.S. History, rules and laws become historically situated: students see colonial charters, town meetings, and emerging institutions as solutions to real problems (order, taxation, trade, conflict, representation)
- Students confront complexity: laws can promote the common good for some while simultaneously excluding others — pushing beyond “rules are good” into ethical and historical reasoning

Vertical alignment works because teachers in later grades can assume that their students have already grasped the function of rules from their K–1 experience, and then their own civic agency in the 2–3 band, and can thus grapple with higher-level questions.

Vertical alignment is the foundation of the Studies Weekly curriculum. Starting with a set of enduring understandings dictated by state standards, we map vocabulary and reasoning demands by grade, planning what gets introduced, reinforced, and deepened. Then we develop intentional re-encounters, but with a new context, a higher cognitive demand, and greater complexity. We also raise performance expectations. Finally, we provide many opportunities for the students to practice and apply their growing knowledge.

References

- Bransford, J. D., Brown, A. L., & Cocking, R. R. (Eds.). (2000). *How people learn: Brain, mind, experience, and school*. National Academies Press.
- College, Career, and Civic Life (C3) Framework for Social Studies State Standards. (2013). *Guidance for enhancing the rigor of K–12 civics, economics, geography, and history*. National Council for the Social Studies.
- Duke, N. K. (2020). What research says about building knowledge. *Literacy Today*, 37(4), 12–13.
- Duke, N. K., & Pearson, P. D. (2002). Effective practices for developing reading comprehension. In A. E. Farstrup & S. J. Samuels (Eds.), *What research has to say about reading instruction* (3rd ed., pp. 205–242). International Reading Association.
- Fisher, D., & Frey, N. (2014). *Better learning through structured teaching: A framework for the gradual release of responsibility* (2nd ed.). ASCD.
- Fisher, D., Frey, N., & Hattie, J. (2016). *Visible learning for literacy, grades K–12: Implementing the practices that work best to accelerate student learning*. Corwin.
- Hattie, J. (2009). *Visible learning: A synthesis of over 800 meta-analyses relating to achievement*. Routledge.
- Hattie, J. (2012). *Visible learning for teachers: Maximizing impact on learning*. Routledge.
- National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine. (2018). *How people learn II: Learners, contexts, and cultures*. National Academies Press.
- Shanahan, T. (2017). Teaching reading is a developmental process. *Literacy Today*, 34(4), 16–18.
- Shanahan, T., & Shanahan, C. (2008). Teaching disciplinary literacy to adolescents: Rethinking content-area literacy. *Harvard Educational Review*, 78(1), 40–59. <https://doi.org/10.17763/haer.78.1.v62444321p602101>
- University of Minnesota, Center for Educational Innovation. (n.d.). *Curriculum alignment: What it is and why it matters*. University of Minnesota.
- Wexler, N. (2019). *The knowledge gap: The hidden cause of America's broken education system—and how to fix it*. Avery.
- Wexler, N., Pimentel, S., & Gifford, B. (2020). The case for a knowledge-rich curriculum. *American Educator*, 44(2), 4–13.